

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/682,194	PERCIVAL, JEFFREY D.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Stephen J. Lechert Jr.	1732
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> _____	
(1) <u>Stephen J. Lechert Jr.</u>		(3) _____	
(2) <u>Ms. Rodgers.</u>		(4) _____	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> 2 June 2004		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
None			
Claims discussed:			
1-24			
Prior art documents discussed:			
None			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
See Continuation Sheet			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the process claims were allowable but the products required further consideration and further restriction. The examiner discussed improper dependency of Claim 12 and further discussed eliminating the Trademarked composition in claim 16. Applicant authorized re-restriction and elected the method claims, authorized dependency and Trademark substitution by examiner's amendment and Authorized cancellation of non-elected claims with traverse, applicant reserving the right to file divisional application. Applicant's representative authorized changes to the Title.